UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	v. DeAnthony Reakwon Lyons Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00159-RJJ			
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refeerendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Fir	ndings of Fact			
(1)		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of nat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3′ which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*					
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.					
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:			
	a minor victim	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C				
(2)		hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
(4)) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.				
	Alternative	e Findings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s				
(2)		blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions			
	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar	•			
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	e Findings (B)			
` '	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange				
(· ·	he Reasons for Detention			
1.1		e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing			
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that: dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to con				
	dant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be rel dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	eased in any case. the court's attention should his circumstances change.			
	Doub III Diversitions	Devouding Detention			

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 15, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	